

Document DCO 3.4

Schedule of Changes to the Draft DCO

SEPTEMBER 2026

The East Midlands Gateway Phase 2
and Highway Order 202X and The East Midlands Gateway
Rail Freight and Highway (Amendment) Order 202X

The East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 and Highway Order 202X

SCHEDULE OF CHANGES TO THE DRAFT DCO (DOCUMENT DCO 3.4)

Version	Date	Status of Version
0	February 2026	Procedural Deadline A
1	April 2026	Deadline 2
2	June 2026	Deadline 5
3	July 2026	Deadline 6
4	September 2026	Deadline 7

CONTENTS

Section		Page
1.	Response to ExP's Initial Observations on Drafting of the dDCO	4
2.	Changes made to the dDCO submitted at Deadline 2	35
3.	Changes made to the dDCO submitted at Deadline 5	48
4.	Changes made to the dDCO submitted at Deadline 6	58
5.	Changes made to the dDCO submitted at Deadline 7	58
Appendix - Abbreviations		69

1. Response to ExP's Initial Observations on Drafting of the dDCO

Ref	Issue	Query	DCO Applicant's Response
General queries			
R6D1.	Drafting	Definitions Definitions should only be set out in article 2 or paragraph 1 of schedule 2, as appropriate, if used in more than one provision. If not, then either should be defined within the relevant provision, or set out in full if used as an abbreviation. In article 2: "the 2010 Regulations" Only used in article 41 "relocation works" Only used in article 34 "traffic officer" Not used in dDCO. "tribunal" This term is only used as an abbreviation in article 45, in Schedule 12 it is used in full. Use in full in article 45 and delete from article 2. "tree preservation order" Only used in article 38. "trunk road" Of itself is only used in article 15 (although used as a	The DCO Applicant has reviewed the dDCO and made the appropriate changes in the dDCO submitted at Procedural Deadline A.

Ref	Issue	Query	DCO Applicant's Response
		descriptor in schedules 8 and 13, and as part of the definition of 'strategic road network'). In any event, if defined in article 2, it does not then need be defined in schedule 13. These collectively should be reconciled. "water authority" Not used in dDCO. In schedule 2: "construction and environment management plan" Is also defined in article 2 and thus should not be defined in schedule 2 unless different (and explicitly so). "CTMP" Only used in requirement 11. "the design approach document" Other than schedule 16 is only used in requirement 7. "employment scheme" Only used in requirement 25. "HGV park" Only used in requirement 29.	

Ref	Issue	Query	DCO Applicant's Response
		"highway works" Is also defined in article 2 and thus should not be defined in schedule 2 unless different (and explicitly so). "illustrative landscape masterplan" Only used in requirement 9. "lighting strategy" Only used in requirement 14. "relevant highway authorities" Not used in dDCO. "sustainable drainage statement" Other than defining 'sustainable drainage strategy' 'sustainable drainage statement' is only used in requirement 17 and therefore these should be rationalised and defined in requirement 17. "sustainable transport strategy" Term is only used in requirement 4 and thus should be defined there - this definition would not apply in	

Ref	Issue	Query	DCO Applicant's Response
		schedule 15, unless relocated to article 2. "sustainable transport working group" Term is only used in requirement 4 and thus should be defined there - this definition would not apply in schedule 15, unless relocated to article 2. Please also check the schedule 13, protective provisions. If the same definition is used in article 2, there is no need for repetition.	
R6D2.	Drafting	Approvals Should all approvals be 'in writing', which could include electronic transmission? Would it make easier drafting if a single, new, provision was made within the order to this effect, and the dDCO amended as necessary?	The DCO Applicant is content to incorporate a new provision to clarify that all approvals are in writing and will permit electronic transmission. The DCO Applicant is considering how such wording can best accommodate existing drafting and avoid duplication. For example, 'electronic transmission' is defined in Article 44 (service of notices). The DCO Applicant's proposed wording and corresponding updating to the dDCO and Explanatory Memorandum (if required) will be provided at Deadline 2.
R6D3.	Drafting	Deemed approvals	The DCO Applicant has, where appropriate, incorporated provisions to give notice to a

Ref	Issue	Query	DCO Applicant's Response
		Recent practice on transport DCOs is that where a provision includes a deemed approval if a discharging authority fails to respond within a relevant timeframe, such a notice must be included within the application. For example, in article 16 of The A46 Newark Bypass Development Consent Order 2025 includes: "(5) Any application to which this article applies must include a statement that the provisions of paragraph (4) apply to that application." The applicants are asked to review the dDCO generally and the following provisions specifically: • articles 9, 11, 13, 17, 19, 20. • schedule 13, part 1, paragraph 2 • schedule 13, part 2, paragraphs 4, 13	discharging authority in accordance with recent practice. Please note that additional notice has <u>not</u> been provided for Article 13(4) or Schedule 13 Part 1 Paragraph 4 because the stated procedure already includes steps requiring an additional notice and period to be given in the event of a failure to respond and before notice can be deemed to have been provided by the discharging authority. The DCO Applicant has also not included an amendment to Schedule 13 Part 2 Paragraph 4 at this time, because those protective provisions are currently under active discussion.
R6D4.	Drafting	Use of "and/ or" Under the guidance for the drafting of statutory instruments the term "and/or" should not be used. The applicants are asked to review the dDCO generally and the following provisions specifically: • articles 18	The DCO Applicant has reviewed the dDCO and made the appropriate changes in the dDCO submitted at Procedural Deadline A.

Ref	Issue	Query	DCO Applicant's Response
		• schedule 1, Work Nos. 5, 21 • schedule 2, paragraph 1, definition of "employment scheme" (see also Ref No. 1) It is also used in the protective provisions in numerous occasions.	
R6D5.	Drafting	Potential typographic errors The ExP has identified the following potential typographic errors. The applicants are asked to review the dDCO generally and the following provisions specifically: • article and paragraph numbers should be set out in bold text. • article 2, the definition of "the environmental statement", when referred to in schedule 16 as "environmental statement". • article 24, paragraph (7) line after secondary list in (a) is too far to left. • article 28, paragraph (2) replace "compulsory purchase order), the three year period mentioned in section 4" substitute" with "compulsory purchase order)", the three year period mentioned in section 4 substitute" (the quotation marks are possibly incorrect).	The DCO Applicant has reviewed the dDCO and made the appropriate changes in the dDCO submitted at Procedural Deadline A.

Ref	Issue	Query	DCO Applicant's Response
		- article 38 on three occasions "free" has been used instead of "tree". - schedule 2, requirement 11 in the list, each item should start with a lower case "a". - schedule 2, requirement 20 replace "reliant" with "relevant". - schedule 2, requirement 22(2) replace "lain" with "land". - schedule 2, requirement 27 replace "sqm" with "square metres". - schedule 2, part 2, paragraph 4, replace "(c)" with "2(c)". - schedule 9, second entry, column (2) requires a verb. - schedule 12, paragraph 4(4), in sub-paragraph (d), there should be a new line after "(common land),". - schedule 12, paragraph 4(5), replace "HA" with "11A". - schedule 14, paragraph 3, the sub-paragraph numbering is incorrect.	

Ref	Issue	Query	DCO Applicant's Response
		• schedule 14, paragraph 3(2) replace "rest— riction" with "restriction". • schedule 15, paragraph 1 replace "stablished" with "established". Please note Schedule 13 has not been checked.	
R6D6.	Drafting	Tailpiece provisions and amendments Tailpiece provisions should not be included within requirements as they lead to uncertainty (see <i>Midcounties Co-operative Ltd v Wyre Forest DC</i> [2009] EWHC 964). The applicants are asked to review the dDCO generally and the following provisions specifically: • schedule 2, requirements 3(1) and (2), 4(1) (there are 2 within this provision) and (7), 6, 7(2)(e), 8, 10, 16, 19(1), 22(3), 24, 26(4), 29(2). The applicants may wish to consider a provision dealing with amendments generally (see, for example, requirement 20 of The A46 Newark Bypass Development Consent Order 2025). This may consequently involve redrafting of various provisions within the dDCO. Furthermore, the applicants have used "alter" and "vary" (and their derivatives) when "amend" (and its derivatives)	The DCO Applicant is content to incorporate a new provision dealing with amendments as approved in other made DCOs. The DCO Applicant's proposed wording and corresponding updating to the dDCO and Explanatory Memorandum (if required) will be provided at Deadline 2.

Ref	Issue	Query	DCO Applicant's Response
		may be a better term. In some contexts, "alter" and "vary" could mean "omit" or "exceed the terms assessed".	
R6D7.	Drafting	Consistency of drafting The ExP has identified various areas where there is a lack of consistency of drafting of provisions. (i) Various requirements start in different forms, for example: • "Prior to the commencement of each component of the authorised development ...", • "No part of the authorised development shall commence ..." • "None of the authorised development ..." Please could the applicants ensure consistency across drafting as this would result in greater clarity. It is appreciated that different triggers may be required for different provisions. (ii) There are various different formulations relating to "working days". Some refer to public holidays, others to bank holidays and some to both and some are not defined. The use of a single, consistent, definition appropriately located would clarify matters.	The DCO Applicant has reviewed the dDCO and made the appropriate changes in the dDCO submitted at Procedural Deadline A. Please note that Schedule 13 contains protective provisions which are negotiated with undertakers and therefore differences will remain to reflect the wording required by each undertaker.

Ref	Issue	Query	DCO Applicant's Response
		The applicants are asked to review the dDCO generally and the following provisions specifically: • schedule 2, all requirements. • schedule 2, part 2, paragraphs 1, 2, 4, 5 • schedule 13	
R6D8.	Drafting	Future proofing Could the applicants fully justify the phrase ‘successor in function’ or similar, since upon any re-organisation in function this will automatically apply. Alternative drafting could be considered to future proof any anticipated changes, perhaps using references to the relevant legislation, for example, for local planning authority reference to schedule 1 of the TCPA. The applicants are asked to review the dDCO generally and the following provisions specifically: • article 2 definitions of "chief officer of police", "lead local flood authority", "local highway authority", "local planning authority" (which is incorrect as Leicestershire County Council is also a local planning authority for the area), "National Highways", "water authority". • schedule 2 definition of "employment scheme".	The DCO Applicant has reviewed the dDCO and made the appropriate changes in the dDCO submitted at Procedural Deadline A.

Ref	Issue	Query	DCO Applicant's Response
		schedule 13 definitions of "Severn Trent" and "Cadent".	
R6D9.	Drafting	Generic drafting The applicants are requested to review drafting of the dDCO to ensure it applies to the proposed development. Specific examples of potentially generic drafting which may not be correct include: - article 31(3). - schedule 12, paragraph (8) in the insertion, paragraph 1, with the reference to "the whole or part of a house, building or factory".	The DCO Applicant has reviewed the dDCO. Article 31(3) is not required and has been deleted. The DCO Applicant's preference is to retain the wording in Schedule 12 to future proof the dDCO (i.e. whilst the DCO Applicant is not aware of any house, building or factory on land which will be the subject of compulsory purchase, that position could change over the life of the DCO).
Specific queries			
R6D10.	Preamble	Drafting (i) As the applicants will be aware, the panel consists of three members and should be amended as appropriate. (ii) In the last paragraph, there is a section in square brackets. The applicants are asked to seek to conclude on this as appropriate.	(i) The DCO Applicant has made this change in the draft DCO submitted at Procedural Deadline A. (ii) The DCO Applicant has completed the drafting and removed the square brackets.
R6D11.	Article 2 - Interpretation	Definition of "maintain"	The definition of "maintain" to include improve accords with other made DCOs. The recently made The A46 Coventry Junctions

Ref	Issue	Query	DCO Applicant's Response
		In article 2 of the dDCO in the definition of "maintain" includes the term "improve". Given one person's improvement is another's making worse this is too subjective a term and equally could lead to development taking place that is outside the terms assessed in the environmental statement. Could the applicants please reconsider this or fully justify this definition.	(Walsgrave) Development Consider Order 2026 provides that "maintain" includes improve. Indeed, the definition of "maintain" is arguably broader albeit that the scope of works which can be undertaken are limited to those which do not give rise to materially new or materially different environmental effects to those identified in the environmental statement. It is therefore proposed to adopt that wording and the definition in the dDCO has been updated accordingly in the version submitted at Procedural Deadline A.
R6D12.	Article 5 – Authorisation of use	Definitions of use The applicants are asked to comment on the following: (i) "Logistics" is not a term normally used in planning legislation, rather 'warehouse' or 'storage or distribution centre' (see class B8 of the Town and Country Planning Use Classes Order 1987). It is noted that 'warehouse' is used in Works No. 1, requirements 10, 15, 21 and 25 and schedule 15. Is there any ambiguity in the term "logistics"? (ii) Should the authorised use be restricted to remove permitted development rights to allow a change of use? Perhaps add "only" after "manufacturing uses"?	(i) The term "logistics" is consistent with the Section 35 Direction (Document DCO 6.1B) made by the Secretary of State under section 35(1) of the Planning Act 2008. The term has also been recognised in planning policy (see paragraph 86(c) of the Framework (December 2024)). (ii) The DCO Applicant does not agree that the authorised development should be restricted by removing permitted development rights. The addition of "only" in article 5 would conflict with the remainder of that article which authorises use for "any purposes for which such parts of the authorised development is designed and for any purposes ancillary to those purposes".

Ref	Issue	Query	DCO Applicant's Response
		(iii) Could the applicants please explain what, in strict planning terms, the difference is between "manufacturing" and "advanced manufacturing"?	(iii) The terms of "manufacturing" or "advanced manufacturing" are not defined in statute or by policy. Both uses falls generally within Class B2 (general industry) of The Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987 (note that some advanced manufacturing uses might fall within Class E(g)(ii) (research and development) but this is not proposed in this case). The Government has previously distinguished the term "advanced manufacturing" from the general term "manufacturing" as production processes that rely on cutting-edge science and technology research. This includes the development of manufacturing techniques for specific new technologies, such as plastic electronics and composites. It also includes generic high-tech processes, such as automation and robotics, which can give a range of products a competitive advantage in terms of cost or environmental impact. The term 'advanced' refers to the manufacturing process, rather than the product.
R6D13.	Article 7 – Benefit of Order	Transfer of Benefit Could the applicants please set out those elements of the proposed development that it considers likely would be undertaken by those with the benefit of article 7(4) of the dDCO?	The DCO Applicant confirms that at present the only works likely to be undertaken by others are statutory undertakers' works which those statutory undertakers generally prefer to undertake themselves given the sensitive nature of their apparatus.

Ref	Issue	Query	DCO Applicant's Response
R6D14.	Article 8 – Street works and Article 9 – Power to alter layout, etc., of streets	Ensuring elements post works are fit for purpose The ExP notes that article 8(1)(f) and (g) and article 9(1)(c) would allow the applicants to reduce the width of various elements within the street. Given that each element is likely to be needed to be retained for its use, could the applicants explain how the provision would ensure that any remaining element is still fit for purpose?	Any works undertaken pursuant to articles 8 and 9 are regulated by the protective provision in favour of the relevant street or highway authority as set out in Schedule 13 of the dDCO. In addition, article 9 is restricted and the power may only be exercised with the street authority's consent. The protections provided for in Schedule 13 and article 9 ensure that post works are fit for purpose.
R6D15.	Article 9 – Power to alter layout, etc., of streets	Effect on SRN In its RR [RR-022] NH refers to an alleged quote from the EM. However, the ExP has not been able to find this quote. Could the applicants please confirm those streets which the article is to apply to and whether this should be set out in an individual schedule?	The DCO Applicant is also unable to identify the alleged quote. The article does not relate to private streets alone. Article 9 (and 8 which should be read alongside it) are standard provisions which appear in many made DCOs. The purpose of article 9 is to ensure that, with the street authority's consent, works can be undertaken to any street within the Order limits. It is aimed at providing flexibility rather than being prescriptive as to which streets will be subject to the power. It is intended to supplement article 8 which is prescriptive as to which streets it affects because the consent of the street authority is not required. The DCO Applicant can confirm that all works to the SRN will be undertaken under article 8

Ref	Issue	Query	DCO Applicant's Response
			(being the streets identified in Schedule 3 of the dDCO).
R6D16.	Article 10 – Permanent stopping up of streets	Clarification In article 10(3) when a street is stopped up under the article, under (b), where the undertaker owns land on both it may appropriate and use that street for the proposed development. Could the applicants please clarify the situation where the undertaker only owns land on one side?	The DCO Applicant confirms that the situation will not arise. Where it is proposed to stop up under this article, the DCO Applicant will first take steps to ensure that it owns or has acquired the land on both sides of the street.
R6D17.	Article 13 - Accesses	Accesses The ExP's reading of this provision would allow new accesses to the SRN. In its RR [RR-022] NH states that it understands that these are not being proposed. Could the applicants please respond to this point, and, if appropriate, reword the provision to exclude the SRN.	The DCO Applicant confirms that there are no new permanent / replacement accesses from private land to/from the SRN. However, it is anticipated that temporary access will be required to facilitate highway works on the SRN. The DCO Applicant therefore wishes to retain the provision to ensure flexibility.
R6D18.	Article 15 – Classification of highways	Classification of local highways As set out, article 15(2) would only relate to highways falling within the SRN. Should there be an equivalent provision relating to highways within the LHN?	There are no new local roads which are to be provided or required to be classified. The DCO Applicant does not therefore consider that an equivalent provision is required.
R6D19.	Article 18 – Agreements with highway authorities	Signage The ExP notes that article 18 does not include the erection of signage in connection with the approved	The DCO Applicant has revised the wording in the dDCO submitted at Procedural Deadline A to provide for this.

Ref	Issue	Query	DCO Applicant's Response
		development. Given that some of the signage on the network is to be amended, should this also be included?	
R6D20.	Article 19 – Discharge of water	Discharge of water (i) Could the applicants explain how article 19(1) is compatible with the implementation of sustainable drainage systems? (ii) Could the applicants comment on whether this provision should prevent the discharging of surface water into any foul or combined sewer or drainage system?	(i) Article 3 of the dDCO requires the DCO Applicant to construct the authorised development in accordance with the provisions of the dDCO and the requirements. The powers under Article 19(1) are subject to the limitations in sub-articles (3) to (6) and requirements 16 and 17 which regulate surface water run-off and secure the delivery of sustainable drainage systems. (ii) Discharge into a public sewer or drain is subject to consent of the owner of the apparatus (Article 19(3)). Foul water drainage is also regulated by requirement 18 and is a pre-commencement requirement.
R6D21.	Article 20 – Authority to survey and investigate land	Nature of survey In The A46 Coventry Junctions (Walsgrave) Development Consent Order 2026 the SoS amended the equivalent provision to add include a new paragraph (3), which requires any notice under paragraph (2) to indicate the nature of the survey or investigation intended and paragraph (1) was also been amended as the reference to land "which may be affected" was considered too imprecise.	The DCO Applicant has revised the wording in the dDCO submitted at Procedural Deadline A to provide for this.

Ref	Issue	Query	DCO Applicant's Response
		Should this provision be similarly amended, and if not this, should be explained both in response to this question and in the EM.	
R6D22.	Article 21 - Guarantees in respect of payment of compensation	Drafting In article 21(4) to ensure that the compensation is payable, could the applicants confirm whether the provision should mandate, that is use "must be", against the guarantor or provider of security?	The DCO Applicant has revised the wording in the dDCO submitted at Procedural Deadline A to provide for this.
R6D23.	Article 24 – Private rights	Private rights (i) Under article 24(1) third party rights are extinguished from the dates set out in subparagraphs (a) and (b). Could the applicants please explain how this provision would extinguish rights of third parties on land which is owned by the undertaker, given that neither sub-paragraphs (a) and (b) would apply? (ii) Should a revised provision result in additional rights sought, could the applicants please consider how this would be appropriately considered within the examination?	(i) The DCO Applicant has amended the provisions at article 24(3) to clarify that the rights of third parties will be extinguished upon commencement of any activity authorised by the dDCO. (ii) The DCO Applicant is considering to what extent, if any, the clarity provided at (i) above, represents a change that results in additional rights being acquired.
R6D24.	Article 26 – Compulsory acquisition of land – incorporation	Drafting The ExP wonders whether there should be explicit reference to a replacement of "compulsory purchase order" within the relevant provisions of the Acquisition of	The DCO Applicant has revised the wording in the dDCO submitted at Procedural Deadline A to provide for this.

Ref	Issue	Query	DCO Applicant's Response
	of the minerals code	Land Act with "The East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 and Highway Order 20[]". The ExP appreciates that article 29 does make some provision, but is not necessarily sure it covers the incorporation of the minerals code.	
R6D25.	Articles 32 and 33 – Temporary use of land	Drafting The ExP wonders whether there should be provision within articles 32 and 33: (i) to deal with any disputes over the condition of the land when it is returned to the owner following the period of any temporary possession (ii) to make it explicit that land could be temporarily possessed on more than one occasion. (iii) Should the provision explicitly make clear that it would not allow the compulsory acquisition of land or rights? (iv) Should a revised provision result in additional rights sought, could the applicants please consider how this would be appropriately considered within the examination?	(i) Article 45 (Arbitration) of the dDCO will apply to any dispute over the condition of the land when it is returned to the owner following any period of temporary possession. The DCO Applicant considers that arbitration is the most suitable means to resolve any disputes. (ii) The article is based on the model provisions and is consistent with other made DCOs, none of which include the wording proposed by the ExA. (iii) Article 32(8) of the dDCO provides that, unless specifically stated in the book of reference and article 22 (compulsory acquisition of land), the undertaker may not compulsorily acquire the land referred to in paragraph (1) of article 32. (iv) The DCO Applicant does not anticipate that additional rights will be required.
R6D26.	Article 35 – No double recovery	Justification of provision The ExP notes that the SoS has removed this provision in several recently made DCOs. The applicants are	The DCO Applicant is not aware of any DCOs where this provision has been deleted. The wording appears in the recently made The A46 Coventry Junctions (Walsgrave)

Ref	Issue	Query	DCO Applicant's Response
		therefore asked to explicitly explain why this is required both in response to this question and in the EM.	Development Consider Order 2026. The provision is required to ensure that compensation is not payable both under this Order and any other enactment, contract or other rule of law. It follows the well-established principle of equivalence that a claimant is compensated for no more and no less than their loss. The DCO Applicant will provide further detail in the updated Explanatory Memorandum submitted at Deadline 2.
R6D27.	Article 38 - Felling or lopping or trees and removal of hedgerows	Justification of provision (i) Could the applicants please comment on the distance from the Order limits of 25 metres (m) set out to allow works to trees and hedgerows. The ExP notes that general advice from NE is that 15m is sufficient buffer to ensure ancient woodland is not affected. Given there is no such resource here, any distance outside the application site will need to be fully justified both in response to this question and in the EM. (ii) If any distance outside the Order limits were to be approved, how would those who might be affected by these works be aware that this were to happen? The ExP is concerned about the Human Rights aspects of this. (iii) Should a revised provision result in additional rights sought, could the applicants please consider	(i) The DCO Applicant is considering the necessary distance and will provide further detail and justification in the updated Explanatory Memorandum submitted at Deadline 2. (ii) The article is based on article 39 of the model provisions and is consistent with other made DCOs, which do not require notice to be given. The power is further subject to exceptions and restrictions, including that the power may only be exercised where the tree, shrub or hedgerow is causing an obstruction or interference or constituting a danger. Compensation is payable in respect of any losses or damage. (iii) The DCO Applicant does not anticipate that additional rights will be required.

Ref	Issue	Query	DCO Applicant's Response
		how this would be appropriately considered within the examination? (iv) Are the applicants aware of any hedgerow within 25m of the Order limits which would be defined as "important" for the purposes of The Hedgerows Regulations 1997 or an "important hedgerow" for the purposes of The Management of Hedgerows (England) Regulations 2024? If so, could this please be identified on a plan, along with the reasoning behind why the applicants hold that view.	(iv) The DCO Applicant is not aware of any hedgerow within 25m of the Order limits which is "important".
R6D28.	Article 38 - Felling or lopping or trees and removal of hedgerows	Drafting In The A46 Coventry Junctions (Walsgrave) Development Consent Order 2026 the SoS amended the draft DCO to require the undertaker to take steps to avoid a breach of the provisions of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981. Should a similar provision be provided for within this dDCO?	The DCO Applicant has revised the wording in the dDCO submitted at Procedural Deadline A to provide for this.
R6D29.	Article 43 - Certification of plans and documents	Local planning register Given, as evidenced by the change to the EMG1 scheme, it is proposed that changes may be sought under the TCPA on land the subject to this application, should copies of the certified plans and documents and any approvals under requirements be included within the	The DCO Applicant has revised the wording in the dDCO submitted at Procedural Deadline A to provide for this.

Ref	Issue	Query	DCO Applicant's Response
		local planning register? If so, could the dDCO be amended to this effect.	
R6D30.	Article 43 - Certification of plans and documents	Amended plans Should this provision include for the situation where the SoS's decision requires that a plan or document is amended? See for example, article 50(2) of The A46 Coventry Junctions	The DCO Applicant has revised the wording in the dDCO submitted at Procedural Deadline A to provide for this.
R6D31.	Article 45 - Arbitration	Justification of provision Given the ExP considers that it is highly unlikely that the SoS would allow arbitration on a matter for which they are the decision maker, should article 45 be amended to exclude any matters for which the SoS is the decision maker?	The DCO Applicant has revised the wording in the dDCO submitted at Procedural Deadline A to exclude any decisions of the Secretary of State.
R6D32.	Drafting of definitions	Schedule 1 – Work No. 1, Schedule 2 – requirements 4, 15, 28, and 29 Could the applicants please reconsider the terminology "ancillary buildings" or ensure that it is fully defined. At present it could be considered that this lacks precision. The applicants may wish to distinguish between 'ancillary' buildings or uses and 'incidental' buildings or uses.	The DCO Applicant has revised the wording in the dDCO submitted at Procedural Deadline A to define "ancillary buildings".
R6D33.	Drafting of definitions	Schedule 1 – Work Nos. 2, 6 and 10	The DCO Applicant has revised the wording in the dDCO submitted at Procedural Deadline A

Ref	Issue	Query	DCO Applicant's Response
		The ExP notes that there is no definition of: • "toucan crossing" • "lane drop" within this schedule and is concerned whether these terms are sufficiently clear.	to define "toucan crossing" and better describe what is meant by "lane drop".
R6D34.	Drafting	Schedule 1 – Work No. 18(b) This work uniquely has the phrase within it "maintained by the local highway authority". Could the applicants please explain why this is necessary within a description of works?	The DCO Applicant confirms that the wording is provided merely as a descriptor to differentiate between the strategic road network and the local highway network.
R6D35.	Schedule 2 – requirement 6	Definition The ExP considers that the term "relevant body" is not clear. Is it supposed to refer to both highway authorities or the Secretary of State, or any combination of these?	The DCO Applicant has revised the wording in the dDCO submitted at Procedural Deadline A to refer to "relevant highway authority" being a defined term.
R6D36.	Schedule 2 – requirement 7	EV charging points and fence adjacent to A453 (i) The ExP wonders whether the details of the location and quantum of electric charging points for vehicles should be included in the list in subparagraph (2), or whether the requirements of the Building Regulations would provide sufficient reassurance? It is noted that currently the Sustainable Transport Strategy is not a certified	(i) The provision of electric vehicle charging points is provided for within Works Nos. 1, 3 and 4. The requirements of the Building Regulations will apply to ensure that the relevant minimum quantum of points is provided as part of the authorised development. The DCO Applicant notes however that the Sustainable Transport Strategy confirms their intention to provide a

Ref	Issue	Query	DCO Applicant's Response
		document, nor is it secured in the dDCO. Should the EV chargers have a specified minimum capacity? (ii) When it first read sub-paragraph (2)(o) the Exp read this as meaning that fences had to be a minimum of 3 metres high. Would this be better set out as "which must not exceed 3 metres in height above ground level"?	greater number of points than that required by Building Regulations. The DCO Applicant will review and, as appropriate, provide a commitment in the next draft dDCO submitted at Deadline 2. (ii) This change has been made in the dDCO submitted at Procedural Deadline A.
R6D37.	Schedule 2 – requirement 10	Replanting of failed landscaping Could the applicants explain why requirement 10(4) is required given its obligations should be covered within the landscape and management plan?	The wording was included following feedback received from North West Leicestershire District Council during statutory consultation on the DCO application for specific wording to be included in the dDCO. The DCO Applicant agrees that the wording could be removed.
R6D38.	Schedule 2 – requirement 11	Drafting Could the applicants please look at the drafting of this requirement. The length of the first sentence in sub-paragraph (1) means that the meaning becomes unclear. This will also apply to elements of sub-paragraph (2).	The DCO Applicant has revised the wording of the requirement in the dDCO submitted at Procedural Deadline A.
R6D39.	Schedule 2 – requirement 12	Drafting Could the applicants please explain why the phrase "in advance and" is required.	The DCO Applicant has deleted the wording in the dDCO submitted at Procedural Deadline A.

Ref	Issue	Query	DCO Applicant's Response
R6D40.	Schedule 2 – requirement 14	Lighting restrictions (i) Could the applicants please consider re-drafting requirement 14(1) to ensure that the details to be approved include means of operation (whether manually switched, or on proximity switching) and the hours of operation? (ii) Could the applicants please explain why requirement 14(3) is necessary given the proposed development does not involve any gantry cranes?	(i) The DCO Applicant has revised the wording in the dDCO submitted at Procedural Deadline A. (ii) The DCO Applicant has deleted the wording in the dDCO submitted at Procedural Deadline A.
R6D41.	Schedule 2 – requirement 18	Drafting The applicants are asked to comment as to whether the term "foul water strategy" should be defined as regards its purpose. This term is only used in this requirement. However, it is noted that 'a foul water management plan' is also referred to in requirement 11.	Requirement 18 requires approval of a foul water drainage strategy for the permanent / operational phase of the development. The foul water management plan referenced in requirement 11 relates to the construction phase only.
R6D42.	Schedule 2 – requirement 19	Out-of-hours working and Drafting Could the applicants please comment on the following: (i) In requirement 19(a) it is noted that any 'out-of-hours' highways works are only to be notified to the local planning authority. Should these be approved?	(i) Pre-planned construction works to highway infrastructure will be agreed with the relevant highway authority rather than the local planning authority. It is commonplace for such works to be undertaken out of hours to minimise disruption to the road network. (ii) The DCO Applicant has revised the wording to refer to "significant noise or

Ref	Issue	Query	DCO Applicant's Response
		(ii) Given "adverse impact" is a subjective term and therefore lacks precision, should another term be used in requirement 19(1)(c)?	vibration effects" in the dDCO submitted at Procedural Deadline A.
R6D43.	Schedule 2 – requirement 20	Drafting Could the applicants please justify the need for the provision in the second sentence of this requirement, and, if necessary amend the drafting for precision; "if required" is not precise and leaves room for interpretation.	The DCO Applicant has revised the wording in the dDCO submitted at Procedural Deadline A.
R6D44.	Schedule 2 – requirement 21	Drafting and 'hook-ups' for HGVs with chiller units (i) Could the applicants please explain how HGV chiller units are to be used "on" any of the warehouses or other buildings? Could the applicants please consider revised drafting to differentiate noise producing plant on buildings and that otherwise on site. (ii) Could the applicants justify the drafting of the following as they would appear to lack precision: • "The assessment will consider noise from the proposed plant and machinery to demonstrate compliance with government and local policy on noise". • "subject to health and safety requirements".	(i) The DCO Applicant has updated the wording of requirement 21 in the dDCO submitted at Procedural Deadline A to differentiate between noise from plant on buildings and other plant on site. (ii) The DCO Applicant has updated the wording of requirement 21 in the dDCO submitted at Procedural Deadline A to improve its precision. (iii) The term "broadband" should be given its every day meaning and it is not considered necessary to define it. The DCO Applicant has updated the dDCO submitted at Procedural Deadline A to refer to "broadband or white noise reversing alarms".

Ref	Issue	Query	DCO Applicant's Response
		(iii) Should "broadband" be defined. Different terminology (white noise) is used in the construction environmental management plan [APP-074, paragraph 6.7]. (iv) NWLDC in its RR [RR-003] notes that it would seek 'hook-ups' for HGV chiller units to minimise noise. Could the applicants please respond to this request? Equally, should this be co-ordinated with EV charging as set out through requirement 7? Should any hook-ups be referred to in the relevant works in schedule 1 and requirement 7?	(iv) The DCO Applicant is considering NWLDC's request and will liaise with them in respect of the same. Any changes to the dDCO agreed will be submitted in the next draft of the dDCO.
R6D45.	Schedule 2 – requirement 22	Drafting (i) Could the applicants please look at the drafting of this requirement. The length of the first sentence in sub-paragraph (1) results in the meaning becoming unclear. (ii) Should reference be made to any successor document to the LCRM? (iii) Could the applicants explain why the words "prepared and" are necessary in sub-paragraph (2)? (iv) In sub-paragraph (3) is the phrase "on that localised area of land within the Order limits" necessary the second time it occurs?	(i) and (ii) The DCO Applicant has revised the wording in the dDCO submitted at Procedural Deadline A. (iii) The words "prepared and" have been deleted in the dDCO submitted at Procedural Deadline A. (iv) The DCO Applicant has revised the wording in the dDCO submitted at Procedural Deadline A.

Ref	Issue	Query	DCO Applicant's Response
R6D46.	Schedule 2 – requirements 23 and 24	Drafting and definitions (i) Could the applicants please justify the use of the phrase "or their approved agent" both times it occurs in requirement 23. Those working on behalf of the undertaker are normally assumed to be working as if they are the undertaker. (ii) Could the applicants please look at requirement 23(1)(b) as it appears rather long. Could this be subdivided for clarity? (iii) The reference to the "site waste management plan" is the only time it occurs in the dDCO. Should this be defined? Could a draft please be submitted into the examination and included as a certified document. (iv) Is the reference to "a scheme for waste management" in requirement 24 the same as the "site waste management plan" referred to in requirement 23? If so, can the drafting be amended as appropriate.	(i) The wording of the requirement, including the term "or their approved agent" is consistent with the same requirement in the EMG1 DCO. (ii) Requirement 23(1)(b) has been subdivided to improve clarity. (iii) A Site Waste and Materials Management Plan (SWMMP) has been submitted with the DCO Application (Document DCO 6.18E) [APP-190]. The reference in the requirement is to enable the local planning authority to request a specific site waste management plan as part of the verification investigation report if it is required. (iv) The scheme in requirement 24 relates to waste management during the operational phase whereas requirement 23 must be discharged before use commences. Waste management during the operational phase will depend on the precise uses and occupiers of each component of the development. To provide further clarity, however, the DCO Applicant has amended requirement 24 to reference the SWMMP.

Ref	Issue	Query	DCO Applicant's Response
R6D47.	Schedule 2 – requirement 26	Approvals Should approval of the operation of the community liaison group be in writing like other provisions within this schedule?	Paragraph (3) of requirement 26 has been updated to require the protocol for the operation of the group to be agreed in writing.
R6D48.	Schedule 2 – requirement 27	Justification, definitions and drafting (i) Should mezzanines be specifically defined as to what they are and how they would be used? (ii) In planning terms, a main use also allows ancillary and incidental uses. Should the use be further defined and clarified, noting the definition of Class B8 in The Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987 and associated case law relating to restrictions of use? (iii) Should the quantum of mezzanines be distributed proportionately with the main floorspace across the units around the main site? (iv) NH in is RR [RR-022] has set out an alternative wording for this requirement. Taking into account the queries set out above, do the applicants have any comments to make on this? If they does not agree, they should set out its detailed explanation.	(i) The term "mezzanine" should be given its everyday meaning. (ii) – (iv) The DCO Applicant is in discussions with National Highways and Leicestershire County Council about the wording of requirement 27. The DCO Applicant has agreed the wording proposed by National Highways in its relevant representation [RR-022] but that wording has not yet been agreed by Leicestershire County Council. The wording has therefore not been included in the dDCO submitted at Procedural Deadline A. Instead, updated wording will be provided in the dDCO submitted at Deadline 2.

Ref	Issue	Query	DCO Applicant's Response
R6D49.	Schedule 2 – requirement 28	Maintenance of community park Could the applicants please justify the phrase "or procure the management and maintenance of the community park"? Complying with this could mean that although procured, the actual management and maintenance does not need to take place.	Whilst the DCO Applicant disagrees that the term "procure" would operate in the way suggested by the ExA, it proposes that the word "procure" be changed to "secure". Paragraph (b) of requirement 28 should then be read as a whole meaning that any failure to manage and maintain the community park in accordance with the approved management and maintenance scheme will be a breach of requirement 28.
R6D50.	Schedule 4 – Streets to be permanently stopped up for which a substitute is to be provided Schedule 5 – Public Rights of Way Schedule 6 – Private Means of Access	Access and Rights of Way plans In line with the s51 advice issued when the application was accepted the applicants have submitted revised access and rights of way plans. Could the applicants please ensure that the relevant references in schedules 4, 5 and 6 of the dDCO are updated as necessary to ensure consistency.	The DCO Applicant confirms that Schedules 4, 5 and 6 of the dDCO are correct.
R6D51.	Schedule 8 – Speed limits	Potential drafting errors In the reference to the change of The M1 Motorway (Junctions 23A to 25) (Variable Speed Limits) Regulations 2018 as amended, insert "in the Schedule, "	The DCO Applicant has inserted the words "In the Schedule," before "in paragraph 5(b)" in the dDCO submitted at Procedural Deadline A. The insertion of "and" at the end of (iii) is

Ref	Issue	Query	DCO Applicant's Response
		before "in paragraph 5(b)", and in and replace the last insert with: "and (iv) the carriageway from the northbound carriageway of the M1 to the westbound carriageway of the A50 beginning at the diverge from the northbound carriageway and ending at a point 255 metres north of the tip of the nose at the diverge." This effectively is to add an "and" at the end of entry (iii).	unnecessary as it is already provided for within the existing text.
R6D52.	Schedule 9 – New Traffic Regulation Orders	Drafting and justification Could the applicants explain why, in the first row, there is reference to "waiting" only and not "waiting and parking" or just "parking"?	The DCO Applicant confirms that the convention is to refer to "waiting" as no waiting includes no parking.
R6D53.	Schedule 12 – Modification of compensation enactments	Drafting Could the applicants please justify the drafting of the "acquiring authority" in the insertion provided in paragraph 2(2)? Under article 26, "acquiring authority" is replaced by "the undertaker". While schedule 12 relates to article 23, it may be that this needs to be looked at in the round.	The use of the term "acquiring authority" is consistent with the terms used in the Land Compensation Act 1961. Adopting the term "undertaker" would require further modifications to that Act. The proposed modifications and use of "acquiring authority" is consistent with other made DCOs. Article 26 seeks to modify Schedule 2 (minerals) of the Acquisition of Land Act 1981 and should be read standalone. Because the modification relates only to Schedule 2 of that

Ref	Issue	Query	DCO Applicant's Response
			Act, the use of the term "undertaker" can be used as it does not create the need for further modifications.
R6D54.	Schedule 15 – Sustainable Transport Working Group	Drafting (i) In paragraph 1, given there is only one district planning authority within administrative area the Order limits; should this be redrafted? (ii) In paragraph 3(8) is "election" the correct term, or should it be "request"? (iii) In paragraph 5(3) is there a need for both serving notice by email and recorded delivery post?	(i) and (ii) The DCO Applicant has made these changes in the draft DCO submitted at Procedural Deadline A. (iii) The DCO Applicant has amended the wording in the draft DCO submitted at Procedural Deadline A to refer to service of notice by email only.
R6D55.	Clarity	Explanatory Memorandum The applicants are asked to review the EM to explain why each provision within each proposed Order, including articles and requirements, is required in the particular circumstances of the proposed development. For requirements this should concentrate on the six tests set out in paragraph 4.11 of the NNNPS and paragraph 57 of the Framework. The EM at present concentrates on what each provision would achieve. Where the applicants are relying on specific precedent provisions, then precise details of that precedent should be set out, that is citing the relevant individual provision reference.	The DCO Applicant is reviewing the draft Explanatory Memorandum and will submit an updated version at Deadline 2.

2. Changes made to the dDCO submitted at Deadline 2

Article	Summary of the change	Explanation for the change
1	Insertion of "Gateway" in the name of the proposed Order	To correct an error
2(1)	Insertion of a new definition of "electronic transmission"	Removing the definition from article 45 and insertion into article 2 as it is used more widely than in article 45 alone
2(1)	Amending the definition of "local planning authority" to include Leicestershire County Council as county planning authority	In response to R6D8 (ExP's Initial Observations on Drafting of the dDCO)
2(1)	Amending the definition of "traffic authority"	To correct an error
2(1)	Insertion of a definition of "working days"	Moved from Part 2 of Schedule 2 into the main definitions
2(1)	Deleting the definition of "tribunal"	In response to R6D1 (ExP's Initial Observations on Drafting of the dDCO)
2(7)	Insertion of a new provision to provide that approvals, agreements or consents must be in writing but may be by email	In response to R6D2 (ExP's Initial Observations on Drafting of the dDCO)
5	Change "logistics" to "storage and distribution" and other changes	To clarify the use. In response to R6D12 (ExP's Initial Observations on Drafting of the dDCO) and following ISH2

Article	Summary of the change	Explanation for the change
7(2) and (3)	Remove "s" in "consents"	To correct errors
9(4)	Insertion of a new provision to provide that article 9 will not apply to any part of the strategic road network	Following ISH2 and in response to comments from National Highways
13(3) and (4)	Deletion of "(3)" in article 13(3) and adding "s" after "fail" in article 13(4)	To correct errors
15(1)	Deletion of "new" in "(creation of new highways)"	To correct an error
16(1) and (2)	Change "Upon" to "On occurrence of"	To improve on the language used
17(3)(a)	Change "so to do" to "to do so"	To correct an error
20(7)	Change reference to paragraph "(4)" to paragraph "(5)"	To correct an error
24(7)	Change reference to article "32" o article "30"	To correct an error
28(3)	Insertion of "Gateway" in the name of the proposed Order	To correct an error
29(7)	Insert "and rights" after "(time limit for exercise of authority to acquire land"	To correct an error
31(4)	Insertion of "of" after "(sharing"	To correct an error
32(3)(b)	Insertion of "land" in the second to last line	To correct an error
32(6)	Insertion of "as" after "Any dispute"	To correct an error

Article	Summary of the change	Explanation for the change
32(11)	Insertion of a new provision to provide for resolution of disputes relating to restoration of land	In response to R6D25 (ExP's Initial Observations on Drafting of the dDCO)
33(12)	Insertion of a new provision to provide for resolution of disputes relating to restoration of land	In response to R6D25 (ExP's Initial Observations on Drafting of the dDCO)
34(1)	Insertion of "over," after "under, in, on," in the second line	To correct an error
38(1)	Change "25" metres to "15" metres	In response to submissions received and ExQ 8.1.4 [REP1-054]
38(2)(b)	Delete the first word "must"	To correct an error
38(7)(b)	Replace "frees" with "trees"	To correct an error
41(6)	Insertion of a new provision to disapply permitted development rights	In response to R6D12 (ExP's Initial Observations on Drafting of the dDCO)
41(8)	To make a consequential change to a paragraph number	To align with the change to article 41(6)
41(9)	Insertion of a new provision to provide that nothing in the Order will modify or amend The East Midlands Gateway Rail Freight Interchange and Highway Order 2016	Whilst nothing in the Order is currently proposed to amend or modify the EMG1 DCO, this provision has been inserted following submissions at ISH2 and based on the precedent in The Millbrook Gas Fired Generating Station Order 2019 and will be kept under review in case any amendments or modifications become necessary

Article	Summary of the change	Explanation for the change
42(1)	Deletion of "(c)" after "57" in the second line	To correct an error
43(1)	Insertion of a full stop at the end	To correct an error
43(4)(c)	Changing "he" to "the" in the third line	To correct an error
45	Replacing "tribunal" with "Upper Tribunal"	In response to R6D1 (ExP's Initial Observations on Drafting of the dDCO)
Schedule 1 Part 1	In the description of Works No. 1, change "logistics" to storage and distribution"	In response to R6D12 (ExP's Initial Observations on Drafting of the dDCO) and following submissions made at ISH2
Schedule 1 Part 1	In the description of Works No. 1(b), delete "(Document DCO 2.5)"	To correct the drafting
Schedule 1 Part 1	In the description of Works No. 2(f), delete the apostrophe in "Hyam's"	To correct an error
Schedule 1 Part 1	In the description of Works No. 7, delete the apostrophe in "Hyam's"	To correct an error
Schedule 1 Part 1	In the description of Works No. 12(a)(i), insert "to"	To correct an error
Schedule 1 Part 1	In the description of Works No. 12(a)(iv) and (b), change "makings" to "markings"	To correct an error
Schedule 1 Part 1	In the description of Works No. 18(b), delete "maintained by the local highway authority"	In response to R6D34 (ExP's Initial Observations on Drafting of the dDCO)

Article	Summary of the change	Explanation for the change
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 1	Delete the definition of "non-working days"	In response to R6D7 (ExP's Initial Observations on Drafting of the dDCO)
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 1	Insert a new definition of "site waste and materials management plan"	In response to engagement with Leicestershire County Council
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 3(1) and (2)	Deletion of "in writing"	Now provided for in article 2(7)
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 4(1) and (2)	Deletion of "in writing"	Now provided for in article 2(7)
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 4(1)	Insert "sustainable" before "transport working group"	To correct an error
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 6	Insertion of a proviso to allow some flexibility for the date of completion of the highway works by agreement (for example, in the event of matters outside the DCO Applicant's control) but only where it would not give rise to any materially new or materially different significant effects	Following submissions from National Highways and Leicestershire County Council
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 7(1), (2) and (3)	Deletion of "in writing"	Now provided for in article 2(7)
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 7(1)	To include reference to the main site, community park and substation	For clarity

Article	Summary of the change	Explanation for the change
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 7(2)	To include reference to the community park	For clarity and in response to submissions from interested parties
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 8	Insertion of a new requirement to secure a foundation works risk assessment	In response to submissions from Leicestershire County Council
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 9(1)	Deletion of "in writing"	Now provided for in article 2(7)
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 9(1)(a) and (c)	Change "trees" to "tree" and "dining" to "during"	To correct errors
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 10(1), (2) and (3)	Deletion of "in writing"	Now provided for in article 2(7)
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 10(1)	Deletion of "management" before "plan"	To improve drafting
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 10(3)	Insertion of a new provision to secure a final landscape and ecological management plan	In response to submissions made by NWLDC
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 10(4)	Change the reference to the landscape and ecological management plan to "any plan agreed" pursuant to the earlier provisions	In response to submissions made by NWLDC

Article	Summary of the change	Explanation for the change
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 10(5)	Change five years to fifteen years	In response to submissions made by NWLDC
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 11(1) and (5)	Deletion of "in writing"	Now provided for in article 2(7)
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 11(1), (3) and (5)	To provide for consultation with the Environment Agency in the discharge of the requirement	In response to submissions made by the Environment Agency
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 11(3)(d)	To provide that the material and waste management plan will be substantially in accordance with the site waste and materials management plan	In response to submissions made by Leicestershire County Council
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 11(3)(e)	Deletion of "detailed" in the second line	To provide clarification
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 12	Deletion of "in writing" and deletion of wording relating to alterations being made to the approved details	Now provided for in article 2(7) and requirement 34 respectively
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 13	Deletion of "in writing"	Now provided for in article 2(7)
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 14	Deletion of "in writing"	Now provided for in article 2(7)

Article	Summary of the change	Explanation for the change
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 14(1)	Insertion of additional wording regarding lighting on certain external facades	In response to submissions made by NWLDC
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 15	Deletion of "in writing"	Now provided for in article 2(7)
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 15(2)	To provide for a post construction review	In response to submissions made by NWLDC
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 15(3) and (4)	Insertion of new provisions to provide for construction standards	In response to submissions made by NWLDC
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 16 and 17	Deletion of "in writing"	Now provided for in article 2(7)
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 17(1)	Deletion of "but excluding" in the second line, provision made for consultation with the Environment Agency when the requirement is discharged and for additional items to be included in the scheme to be approved	In response to submissions made by the Environment Agency
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 17(2)	Insertion of a new provision that each phase of the authorised development must be carried out in accordance with the surface water drainage scheme	In response to submissions made by the Environment Agency
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 18	Deletion of "in writing"	Now provided for in article 2(7)

Article	Summary of the change	Explanation for the change
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 18	To provide for consultation with the Environment Agency in the discharge of the requirement	In response to submissions made by the Environment Agency
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 19	Deletion of "in writing"	Now provided for in article 2(7)
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 19	Amendment to non-working days	In response to R6D7 (ExP's Initial Observations on Drafting of the dDCO)
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 20(2)	Insertion of a new provision to provide for monitoring of complaints from construction noise	In response to submissions made by NWLDC
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 21(1)	Deletion of "in writing"	Now provided for in article 2(7)
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 21(1)(b)	Insertion of references to vibration	In response to submissions made by NWLDC
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 21(4)	Change "broadband" to "data transmission"	In response to R6D44 (ExP's Initial Observations on Drafting of the dDCO)
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 21(5)	Insertion of a new provision to provide for monitoring of complaints from operational noise	In response to submissions made by NWLDC

Article	Summary of the change	Explanation for the change
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 22	Deletion of "in writing"	Now provided for in article 2(7)
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 22	To provide for consultation with the Environment Agency in the discharge of the requirement	In response to submissions made by the Environment Agency
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 23	Deletion of "in writing"	Now provided for in article 2(7)
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 23(1)(a) and 2(f)	To delete reference to "their approved agent"	In response to R6D46 (ExP's Initial Observations on Drafting of the dDCO)
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 23(1)(b)(ii)	To provide for consultation with the Environment Agency in the discharge of the requirement	In response to submissions made by the Environment Agency
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 24	Deletion of "in writing"	Now provided for in article 2(7)
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 24	Delete "(document DCO 6.18E)" in the fourth line	For consistency
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 25	Deletion of "in writing"	Now provided for in article 2(7)
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 25(2)	Insertion of revised wording relating use rather than occupation	In response to submissions made by NWLDC

Article	Summary of the change	Explanation for the change
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 26	Deletion of "in writing"	Now provided for in article 2(7)
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 26(2)(e)	Change "Diseworth Parish Council" to "Long Whatton and Diseworth Parish Council"	To correct the name
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 26(3) and (4)	Change "authorities" to "authority"	To correct an error
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 27	Insertion of revised wording relating to the use of mezzanine floorspace	As agreed with National Highways and under discussion with Leicestershire County Council
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 28	Amendment of the wording to provide that the park must be completed and be available for use before occupation	In response to submissions made by NWLDC
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 29	Deletion of "in writing" and provision for variations to be approved to the management plan	Now provided for in article 2(7) and requirement 34
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 29	Insertion of revised wording relating to use and management of the HGV park	In response to submissions made by NWLDC and Leicestershire County Council
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 30	Insertion of a new requirement for HGV hook up facilities	In response to submissions made by NWLDC and Leicestershire County Council
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 31	Insertion of a new requirement to safeguard land for A453 dualling	In response to submissions made by Leicestershire County Council and as agreed by them

Article	Summary of the change	Explanation for the change
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 32	Insertion of a new requirement relating to a carbon neutral campus / headquarters	As per the Applicants' response to ExQ [REP1-054]
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 33	Insertion of a new requirement for an operational environmental management plan	In response to submissions made by the Environment Agency
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 34	Insertion of a new requirement to allow for amendments and modification to approved details	In response to R6D6 (Exp's Initial Observations on Drafting of the dDCO) and as per The A46 Newark Bypass Development Consent Order 2025
Schedule 2 Part 2 Requirement 4(13)	Change "authority" to "body"	In response to Exp's Initial Observations on Drafting of the dDCO
Schedule 4	Delete the apostrophe in "Hyam's"	To correct an error
Schedule 6 Part 1 Column (4)	Change "payment" to "development"	To correct an error
Schedule 11 Column (4)	Change "14c" to "14(c)"	To correct an error
Schedule 12	Correct various minor typos	To correct errors
Schedule 13 Part 1	Correct various minor typos and change the registered address of National Highways in the bond agreement	To correct errors
Schedule 13 Part 2	Correct various minor typos	To correct errors

Article	Summary of the change	Explanation for the change
Schedule 13 Part 3	Correct various minor typos	To correct errors
Schedule 13 Part 4	Correct various minor typos	To correct errors
Schedule 13 Part 8	Correct various minor typos	To correct errors
Schedule 14	Correct various minor typos	To correct errors
Schedule 15 Paragraph 1	Insert National Highways as a member of the Sustainable Transport Working Group	As requested, and agreed with National Highways
Schedule 15 Paragraphs 3(4) and 5(3)	Correct various minor words	For consistency
Schedule 16	Update the documents to be certified	To reflect changes to the DCO Application documentation

3. Changes made to the dDCO submitted at Deadline 5

Article	Summary of the change	Explanation for the change
2(1)	Insertion of a new definition of "A453 safeguarded land plan"	Moved from Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 31 into the main definitions
2(1)	Amendment of the definition of "cycle track" to include a right of way on foot	This change was introduced following representations from the local highway authority who are responsible for maintaining the definitive map and wanted to preserve a right of way on foot.
4(1)(c)	Insertion of reference to Works No. 14a	For accuracy.
4(1)(d)	Insertion of reference to Works No. 14b and 14c	For accuracy.
5	Insertion of "together with co-located office functions" after "for the purposes of storage and distribution and advanced manufacturing uses"	This addition was made to accurately described the permitted development and align with the Section 35 Direction issued by the Secretary of State.
24(3)	Deletion of provision extinguishing all private rights and restrictions over land owned by the undertaker within the Order limits on commencement of any activity authorised by the Order which interferes with or breaches such rights.	This change was made to reflect the Applicants response to AP27 and CAH2 Item 2.3 in the Applicants' Post Hearing Submissions [REP4-034]
Schedule 1 Part 1	In the description of Works No.3, deletion of the reference to "(Document DCO 2.5)"	Typographical correction.
Schedule 1 Part 1	In the description of Works No.15, amendment of "an uncontrolled crossing" to "a controlled crossing"	This change from an uncontrolled crossing to a controlled crossing which was made in response to concerns raised by the local highway authority regarding the potential safety of an uncontrolled

Article	Summary of the change	Explanation for the change
		crossing. The change was confirmed when it was established that a controlled crossing would not detrimentally affect the operation of the highway or highway safety.
Schedule 1 Part 1	In the description of Works No.18 (b), insertion of "the local" before highway	To clarify the extent of works
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 1	Insertion of new definition of "EMG2 travel plan fund"	The Applicants agreed to secure the travel plan fund in the dDCO in response to representations from the local planning authorities, NWLDC and LCC.
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 1	Insertion of new definition of "EMG2 bus fund"	The Applicants agreed to secure the bus fund in the dDCO in response to representations from the local planning authorities, NWLDC and LCC.
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 3(1)	Insertion of "or the community park" so that phasing approval requirement applies to components of the authorised development on the community park as well as the main site	The Applicants made this change in response to representations from the ExP and to ensure the phasing requirement applies as necessary to the Community Park.
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 4(5)	Insertion of reference to the EMG2 travel plan fund and EMG2 bus fund so that the undertaker must establish those two funds (in addition to the sustainable transport working group) prior to construction of any authorised buildings	This updated wording has been inserted to secure the funds at the same time as establishing the STWG. The change was made in response to representations from the local planning authorities NWLDC and LCC.
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 7(2)(d)	Insertion of additional parking detail requiring (i) location and quantum of electrical charging points comprising a minimum of 10% of total car parking spaces (with passive provision for all spaces) and (ii)	The Applicants have specified the percentage of electric charging points to be provided to reflect the increasing move to electric vehicles and in response to representations from the local planning authorities.

Article	Summary of the change	Explanation for the change
	location of car-sharing spaces of at least 11% of total car parking spaces	The Applicants have also specified the percentage of car sharing spaces to reflect the car sharing initiative in the Framework Travel Plan for the EMG2 Main Site.
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 9(1)	Insertion of "or the community park" so that the requirement to submit and obtain approval of a landscaping scheme before commencement applies to the community park as well as to any component of the authorised development on the main site which includes landscaping	The Applicants made this change in response to representations from the ExP and to ensure the landscaping and ecological mitigation measures apply as necessary to the Community Park.
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 10(1)-(3)	Restructuring of Requirement 10 (landscape and ecological management plan) to operate on a component-by-component basis rather than for the authorised development as a whole, so that: • no component including green infrastructure may commence on the main site until a final landscape and ecological management plan for that component is approved, incorporating the recommendations of the badger report (appendix 9B) and bat report (appendix 9C) of the ES; • the green infrastructure within each component must be carried out, and managed and maintained for the life of the development, in accordance with the final landscape and	The Applicants have made this change in response to representations from the local planning authorities.

Article	Summary of the change	Explanation for the change
	ecological management plan approved for that component; and the approved final landscape and ecological management plans may be varied from time to time by agreement with the local planning authority.	
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 11(1)	Relocation of "in consultation with the Environment Agency in relation to matters relating to their function" wording to immediately after "approved by"	To clarify that Environment Agency consultation applies to approval of a P-CEMP by both the local planning authority and the relevant highway authority
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 11(3) and (5)	Amendment of "and in" to "and in both instances following"	To clarify that the Environment Agency consultation applies to approval by both the local planning authority and the relevant highway authority.
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 11(3)(f)	Insertion of new sub-paragraph requiring each P-CEMP to include a construction lighting plan (with consequential amendment of the preceding "and")	The Applicants have made this change in response to representations from the local planning authorities.
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 17(2)	Insertion of "and maintained"	To clarify that each phase of the authorised development must be carried out and maintained (rather than only carried out) in accordance with the surface water drainage scheme approved for that phase.
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 19(1)	Relocation of "unless otherwise agreed by the local planning authority" to the opening words of the sub-paragraph,	To clarify that it qualifies the construction hours restriction as a whole rather than only the restriction on working on Sundays and bank holidays.

Article	Summary of the change	Explanation for the change
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 19(1)(c)	Deletion of "significant" and insertion of wording so that the permitted out of hours works are those which do not give rise to noise or vibration effects at the boundary of the main site that exceed the noise levels anticipated and assessed in the environmental statement	The Applicants made this change in response to representations from the ExP and have replaced 'significant' with the objective and assessed standards in the ES.
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 20(2)	Amendments tightening the noise complaint remedial process, tying the trigger to the effects assessed in the environmental statement, requiring an implementation programme, requiring remedial measures to be submitted to and agreed with the local planning authority, and inserting an obligation to implement the agreed remedial measures accordingly	The Applicants have made these changes in response to a request from the local planning authority.
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 21(4)	Amendment to require data transmission or white noise reversing alarms and only allow alternative alarms to be employed on mobile plant where health and safety requirements dictate otherwise and the local planning authority has first approved the alternative alarm's use	The Applicants have made this change to require white noise reversing alarms and to permit alternative reversing alarms in the exception. This change has been made in response to representations from the local planning authority and interested parties.
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 21(5)	Amendment to the operational phase noise complaint provision to require a scheme of remedial measures (with an implementation programme) to be submitted to and agreed with the local planning authority, and then implemented as agreed	The Applicants have made this change in response to a request from the local planning authority.

Article	Summary of the change	Explanation for the change
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 22(2)-(3)	Insertion of additional technical standards governing the contamination work	The Applicants have made this change in response to representations from the local planning authority.
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 25(2)	Insertion of "or other building"	To clarify that that the requirement to submit and obtain approval of an employment scheme on a change of use applies to any warehouse or other building, not just warehouse
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 26(2)	Insertion of wording providing that if a unitary authority is established, the local highway authority and local planning authority representatives (sub-paragraphs (b) and (c)) are replaced by a highway representative and a planning representative of that unitary authority	The Applicants made this change in response to questions from the ExP and responses from the local planning authorities. The change clarifies how representation on the community liaison group should operate in the event that a unitary authority is established in the future.
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 27	Amendment of the mezzanine floorspace requirement to apply to floorspace provided as part of the authorised development and to confirm it must be used solely for storage and not office use; with the separate office use prohibition at sub-paragraph (3) deleted as now consolidated into sub-paragraph (1)	The Applicants have revisited and reworded the wording of the requirement relating to the provision of mezzanine floors in response to discussions with the local authorities during and flowing from ISH3.
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 30	Insertion of wording so that no warehouse served by HGVs with chiller units may be first occupied or used unless electric hook up facilities are available for those vehicles and that the facilities be retained for so long as the warehouse continues to be served by HGVs with chiller units	The Applicants have inserted this additional requirement in response to representations from the local planning authority.

Article	Summary of the change	Explanation for the change
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 32	Restructuring of Requirement 32 (Carbon neutral campus / headquarters construction and operation) so that: • a new minimum requirement is introduced that not less than 10% of the total gross floorspace of the authorised development must comprise a campus; • prior to commencement of construction of the campus, a scheme setting out the measures to achieve carbon neutral construction must be submitted to and approved by the local planning authority, with the campus then provided in accordance with the approved scheme; • prior to occupation of the campus, a scheme setting out the measures to achieve carbon neutral operational use must be submitted to and approved by the local planning authority, and implemented for the duration of operational use; and • new definitions of "campus" and "carbon neutral" are added.	The Applicants have amended the wording of Requirement 32 as indicated in their response to AP 30 [REP4-035]
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 33(1)	Insertion of reference to any approved variations to the operational environmental management plans	To clarify that the approved plans and any variations approved from time to time are to be implemented and maintained for the life of the use

Article	Summary of the change	Explanation for the change
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 33(2)	Insertion of definition of "operational environmental management plan"	The Applicants have made this change in response to discussions with the Environment Agency.
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 34	Insertion of a new requirement (Greenhouse gas emissions) that no component within the main site may commence until a scheme to secure the construction phase greenhouse gas mitigation measures identified in chapter 19 of the environmental statement has been approved, and no component may be brought into use until a scheme to secure the operational phase mitigation measures in chapter 19 has been approved, each scheme (with any approved variations) to be implemented and maintained throughout the relevant phase	The Applicants have included this new requirement in response to comments from the ExP and to ensure the measures in Chapter 19 of the ES are secured.
Schedule 2 Part 2 1(1)(c)	Insertion of proviso that a longer decision period may only be agreed in writing where the discharging authority has made the written request before the end of the period in paragraph (a) or (b)	The additional wording has been inserted for clarity.
Schedule 2 Part 2 4(8)-(9)	Amendment of "must" to "may" in both subparagraphs, so that the appointed person may (rather than must) proceed to a decision on an appeal taking into account only the written representations sent within the prescribed time limits, and may (rather than must) proceed to a decision even though no written representations have been made within the prescribed time limits	The Applicants have made this change in response to representations from the local planning authorities.

Article	Summary of the change	Explanation for the change
Schedule 4	Insertion of "public footpath and" in column (4)	The Applicants have made this change in response to representations from the local highway authority requesting that the status of the footpath be maintained.
Schedule 5, Part 1	Insertion of "public footpath and" throughout column (4)	The Applicants have made this change in response to representations from the local highway authority requesting that the status of the footpath be maintained.
Schedule 5, Part 2	Amendment of the proposed route in column (3) for Parish of Long Whatton and Diseworth from of "public footpath" to a "permissive footpath" with the alignment to be agreed with the local planning authority	The Applicants have made this change in response to representations from the local highway authority.
Schedule 13 Part 2	Updating of the Protective Provisions in favour of Leicestershire County Council (LCC) as the local highway authority.	The Applicants have updated the protective provisions in favour of LCC to reflect discussions between the parties. The updated protective provisions are understood to be in a form capable of being agreed.
Schedule 13 Part 3	Replacement of protection provisions for the protection of National Grid with of protection provisions for the protection of National Grid Electricity Distribution (East Midlands) Plc	To reflect agreed protective provisions between the Applicant and National Grid Electricity Distribution (East Midlands) Plc as the relevant statutory undertaker.

Article	Summary of the change	Explanation for the change
Schedule 13 Part 6	Insertion of updated protective provisions in favour of the Airport Operator	The Airport Operator has made representations indicating that the standards which applied at the time the EMG1 Order was made have been updated. The Applicants have therefore included the updated standards they are proposing on the EMG2 Main Site which reflect the protective provisions that were requested in respect of the Joint Application. The protective provisions submitted are not accepted by the Airport Operator and are the subject of ongoing discussion.
Schedule 15 3 (3)	Insertion of wording making the undertaker responsible for the reasonable costs incurred by STWG members attending meetings	The Applicants have made this change in response to requests from the local authorities.
Schedule 16	Updates to the plan revision numbers shown in column (3)	To reflect the latest revisions of the certified plans.

4. Changes made to the dDCO submitted at Deadline 6

Article	Summary of the change	Explanation for the change
2(1)	Deletion of the definition of "A453 safeguarded land plan".	In response to the ExP's schedule of changes [PD-027].
2(1)	Insertion of a definition of "advanced manufacturing".	In response to the ExP's schedule of changes [PD-027], noting the Applicants' response which explains that alternative wording to the ExP's proposed definition has been provided to avoid a definition that is considered too narrow and to preserve flexibility to accommodate future changes in advanced manufacturing [DCO 7.22].
5	Deletion of the word "only".	In response to the ExP's schedule of changes [PD-027].
38(1)	Amendment to the scope of the power to fell or lop trees, shrubs and hedgerows so that it applies only to trees, shrubs and hedgerows within or overhanging land within the Order limits (in place of within 15 metres of the authorised development).	In response to the ExP's schedule of changes [PD-027], noting the Applicants' response that, rather than deleting the provision as suggested by the ExP, the wording has been retained but the power limited so that it applies only to trees, shrubs and hedgerows within or overhanging land within the Order limits. This is considered to address the ExP's concern and is consistent with the precedent established by other made DCOs [DCO 7.22].
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 1	Amendments to the definition of "EMG2 travel plan fund" to increase the sum from £850,000 to £910,000 and to fix the CPI index base date as the date the Order comes into force.	The additional monies allocated to the fund have been allocated to allow for the payment of costs to voting members of the group. See comments on Schedule 15 below.

Article	Summary of the change	Explanation for the change
		The Applicants have inserted the wording to clarify that CPI indexation of the EMG2 travel plan fund runs from the date on which the Order comes into force in response to the ExP's schedule of changes [PD-027].
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 1	Amendment to the definition of "EMG2 bus fund" to fix the CPI index base date as the date the Order comes into force.	In response to the ExP's schedule of changes [PD-027].
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 3	Deletion of former sub-paragraph (2) of Requirement 3 and removal of reference initially to (1).	In response to the ExP's schedule of changes [PD-027].
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 4(3)	Deletion of "but subject to any amendments approved by" so that the sustainable transport strategy must be implemented and complied with in collaboration with the sustainable transport working group.	In response to the ExP's schedule of changes [PD-027].
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 7	Amendments comprising the following: • Deletion of "Those parts of the design approach document relating to the main site, the community park and the substation can be reviewed and updated by the undertaker in agreement with the local planning authority." in subparagraph (1); • Insertion of "vehicle" after "electrical" and "(type 2 to British Standard EN62196 rated at 7.2 kW single phase)" in subparagraph (2)(d);	In response to the ExP's schedule of changes [PD-027], save for the insertion of "vehicle" and the technical specification of the electrical vehicle charging points as "(type 2 to British Standard EN62196 rated at 7.2 kW single phase)", which have been included for clarification purposes.

Article	Summary of the change	Explanation for the change
	• Replacement of "10%" with "ten per cent (10%)" and "11%" with "eleven per cent (11%)"; and • Deletion of subparagraph (3) and subsequent renumbering.	
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 10	Amendments comprising the following: • Deletion of "on the main site" and replacement of ", such" with ". Such" in subparagraph (1); • Deletion of "must be" the second time it occurs in subparagraph (3); • Deletion of subparagraph (4), and renumbering of subparagraphs (5) and (6) as subparagraphs (4) and (5); and • Replacement of "(2), (3) and (4)" with "(2) and (3)" in subparagraph (4) (as renumbered).	In response to the ExP's schedule of changes [PD-027].
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 11, 17, 18, 22, 23	Replacement of "their function" with "its function" in the references to the Environment Agency.	In response to the ExP's schedule of changes [PD-027].
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 14	Deletion of "The details can be subject to alteration with the approval of the local planning authority." from subparagraph (2).	In response to the ExP's schedule of changes [PD-027].

Article	Summary of the change	Explanation for the change
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 17	Insertion of a new sub-paragraph (3)(c) requiring the long-term maintenance details for the surface water drainage system to include the procedures to be undertaken in the event of unexpected flooding from the surface water drainage system that will not be adopted by a third party (including drainage modelling and implementation of remedial measures where the drainage is found to be performing below the agreed design standard).	In response to the ExP's third written questions [PD-028].
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 19	Amendments to subparagraphs (1) and (2) in each case replacing "Sundays and bank holidays" with "Sundays, bank holidays, Good Friday and Christmas Day".	In response to the ExP's schedule of changes [PD-027].
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 20	Amendments comprising the following: • Insertion of a reference to "the provisions in section 6.0 of the construction and environmental management plan and in the Construction Noise Management Appendix of the" before "relevant P-CEMP" in subparagraph (1); and • Insertion of "the activities producing the exceedance shall cease until" after "the environmental statement" in subparagraph (2).	In response to the ExP's schedule of changes [PD-027], save for the amendments to subparagraph (1), which have been made to clarify the specific provisions of the construction and environmental management plan and the relevant P-CEMP with which the management of construction noise must comply.

Article	Summary of the change	Explanation for the change
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 21(5)	Amendments comprising the following: • Insertion of "the activities producing the exceedance shall cease until" after "the environmental statement"; and • In the penultimate sentence, replacement of "must be" with "has been".	In response to the ExP's schedule of changes [PD-027].
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 24	Deletion of "The scheme may be amended by agreement with the local planning authority."	In response to the ExP's schedule of changes [PD-027].
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 25(2)	Replacement of "use of any warehouse" with "the occupier of any warehouse" in subparagraph (2).	In response to the ExP's schedule of changes [https://nsip-documents.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/published-documents/BC0410001-001382-Examining Panel's Schedule of Changes to DCO and MCO.pdf], save for retention of the word "in" so that it refers to a "change in the occupier" of any warehouse or other building.
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 27	Deletion of Requirement 27 (Mezzanines) in its entirety.	The requirement has been deleted following further engagement with National Highways and Leicestershire County Council.
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 27 (new)	Insertion of new Requirement 27 (Signage).	This requirement has been added to address the outstanding concerns raised by Leicestershire County Council relating to traffic impacts on the local road network.

Article	Summary of the change	Explanation for the change
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 30	Replacement of "shall be first occupied" with "shall be occupied" and replacement of "whilst ever the warehouse" with "while the warehouse".	In response to the ExP's schedule of changes [PD-027].
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 31	Deletion of Requirement 31 (Safeguarded land) in its entirety.	In response to the ExP's schedule of changes [PD-027].
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 31 (new)	Insertion of a new Requirement 31 (Advanced manufacturing).	In response to the ExP's schedule of changes [PD-027], and further to the Applicants' response [DCO 7.22].
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 32 (new)	Insertion of a new Requirement 32 (Marketing of the advanced manufacturing floorspace).	In response to the ExP's schedule of changes [PD-027], and further to the Applicants' response [DCO 7.22].
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 33	Replacement of "10%" with "ten per cent (10%)" in subparagraph (1) and replacement of "authority. . The" with "authority. The" in subparagraph (3).	In response to the ExP's schedule of changes [PD-027].
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 34	Replacement of "The approved plans, together with any variations to it that may be approved in writing by the local planning authority from time to time, must be implemented" with "The approved plans must be implemented".	In response to the ExP's schedule of changes [PD-027].
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 35	Replacement of "The approved scheme, together with any variations to it that may be approved by the local planning authority from time to time, must be implemented" with "the approved scheme must be implemented" in subparagraph (1).	In response to the ExP's schedule of changes [PD-027].

Article	Summary of the change	Explanation for the change
	Replacement of "The approved scheme, together with any variations to it that may be approved by the local planning authority from time to time, must be implemented" with "The approved scheme must be implemented" in subparagraph (2).	
Schedule 2 Part 2 Paragraph 4(13)	Replacement of "On application by the discharging body or the undertaker, the" with "The".	In response to the ExP's schedule of changes [PD-027].
Schedule 13 Part 2 Paragraph 6(2)	Deletion of "and excluding winter maintenance)".	This change has been agreed with Leicestershire County Council.
Schedule 13 Part 6 Paragraph 10	Replacement of "access" with "accesses" and insertion of "and egress" after "emergency access".	This change was agreed with the Airport Operator.
Schedule 15 Paragraph 1	Replacement of "the local highway authority" and "the local planning authority" with reference to Leicestershire County Council, North West Leicestershire District Council, Derbyshire County Council, Nottinghamshire County Council, Nottingham City Council, Leicester City Council, Derby City Council and East Midlands Combined County Authority.	This change has been made to better align the group members with those at EMG1 to build on the success of what worked well at EMG1. The combined county authority have been added, recognising their role in regional transport matters.
Schedule 15 Paragraph 3(3)	Amendment to provide that the undertaker will fund the reasonable costs of voting members of the Sustainable Transport Working Group attending meetings from the EMG2 travel plan fund on the terms set out in the sustainable transport strategy.	This change has been previously agreed with North West Leicestershire District Council, Leicestershire County Council and National Highways, but the wording has been clarified to provide that the costs will come from the EMG2 travel plan fund (which has been increased to cover the additional cost) and will be

Article	Summary of the change	Explanation for the change
		payable in accordance with the sustainable transport strategy.
Schedule 15 Paragraph 4	Amendment to provide that the undertaker's vote shall be weighted to be equal to the number of voting members (other than the undertaker) present at the meeting at which the vote takes place.	This change has been made to replicate the arrangements at EMG1.
Schedule 16	Deletion of row relating to A453 Safeguarded land plan	In response to the ExP's schedule of changes [PD-027].

5. Changes made to the dDCO submitted at Deadline 7

Article	Summary of the change	Explanation for the change
Schedule 2 Part 1 Paragraph 1	New definition of biodiversity net gain report inserted.	To adequately identify the biodiversity net gain report to correspond with updates to requirements 9 (provision of landscaping) and 10 (landscape and ecological management plan).
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 5(1) and (2)	Additional wording to identify the highways design principles in Appendix 1 to the Design Approach Document	To ensure the detailed design to be submitted to the highway authorities adheres to the highway works design principles contained in appendix 1 to the Design Approach Document.
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 7(2)	Additional reference to "substation"	To ensure the requirement to submit detailed design includes the design of the substation, which is located outside of the EMG2 Main Site and Community Park. No component of the authorised development can proceed until first approved by the local planning authority.
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 9(1)	Reference to Chapters 9 and 10 of the ES and the biodiversity net gain report inserted	To adequately control all landscaping works and works to the Community Park. The additional wording clarifies that the landscaping scheme must be carried out in accordance with Chapters 9 and 10 of the ES and the biodiversity net gain report, as well as the Parameters Plan and the landscape and ecological management plan (LEMP).
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 10(1)	Reference to Chapters 9 and 10 of the ES and the biodiversity net gain report inserted	To adequately control delivery of those components of the authorised development which include green infrastructure. The additional wording, clarifies that a final version of the LEMP must be prepared in

Article	Summary of the change	Explanation for the change
		accordance with Chapters 9 and 10 of the ES and the biodiversity net gain report, as well as the LEMP and ecological reports relating to bats and badgers submitted as part of the DCO Application.
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 27(2)	Additional wording requiring the approved signage scheme to be installed prior to the occupation of any authorised buildings and that an alternative trigger may only be approved by the relevant highway authority where it would not give rise to any materially new or materially different environmental effects than those assessed in the ES	This change ensures that the signage scheme approved by the relevant highway authority is to be implemented by a defined trigger; the occupation of any authorised building. The wording permits that trigger to be changed only with the approval of the relevant highway authority and only where it would not result in likely significant effects other than those assessed by the Applicants in the ES (or any updating information provided under the 2017 EIA Regulations).
Schedule 2 Part 1 Requirement 36	New wording to cap the quantum of mezzanine floorspace, provide a definition of 'mezzanine' and limit the use of mezzanine floorspace to storage	The requirement has been reinstated to ensure no more mezzanine floorspace than the amount assessed in the ES and Transport Assessment, submitted with the DCO Application, can be provided. The wording includes a definition of mezzanine and responds to comments provided by the ExP.
Schedule 13 Part 1	The wording of the protective provisions for the benefit of National Highways has been updated	The amendments to the protective provisions reflect the agreement reached between the Applicants and National Highways.
Schedule 13 Part 2	The wording of the protective provisions for the benefit of Leicestershire County Council (LCC) (as the local highway authority) has been updated	The amendments to the protective provisions reflect the agreement reached between the Applicants and LCC.

Article	Summary of the change	Explanation for the change
Schedule 13 Part 6	The wording of the protective provisions for the benefit of East Midlands Airport (EMA) (as the airport operator) has been updated	The Applicants have included an express provision covering the usage of drones and unmanned aircraft.
Schedule 13 Part 7	The wording of the protective provisions for the benefit of UK Power Distribution (UKPD) has been updated	The amendments to the protective provisions reflect the agreement reached between the Applicants and UKPD.
Schedule 13 Part 9	New protective provisions have been inserted in favour of Network Rail. (A corresponding update has been made to the contents page)	The Applicants have included protective provisions in favour of Network Rail to provide comfort that it will not acquire the assets or property interests of Network Rail without the prior consent of Network Rail.
Schedule 16	Updated references to certified documentation	The Applicants have updated the date/references of relevant certified documents submitted at Deadline 7.

APPENDIX

ABBREVIATIONS

The following terms shall have the following meanings:

dDCO	Draft Development Consent Order [REP6-007D]
EM	Explanatory Memorandum [REP6-009D]
EV	Electric vehicle
ExP	Examining Panel
Framework	National Planning Policy Framework
HGV	Heavy goods vehicle
LCC	Leicestershire County Council
LHN	Local highway network
NWLDC	North West Leicestershire District Council
NE	Natural England
NH	National Highways
NNNPS	National Networks National Policy Statement
m	metre
RR	Relevant representation
SoS	Secretary of State
TCPA	Town and Country Planning Act 1990

Any capitalised terms used in this document which are not defined in the table above shall have the same meaning as in the Glossary accompanying the DCO Application (DCO 6.1A).